

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/584,604		05/31/2000	Scott A. Rosenberg	INTL-0364-US (P8583)	2847	
21906 TD ()D DD 1	7590	02/12/2003 HII P.C		EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100				AMINI, JAVID A		
HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2672	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/584,604	ROSENBERG, SCOTT A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Javid A Amini	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r clastian requirement					
	ion Papers	r election requirement.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Amendment

The reply to paper no. 2 filed on 15 January 2003 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Patrick et al. reference.

Updated list of claims:

- 1- Claim 8 and 18 (canceled)
- 2- Claim 1 (added new): and transferring said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client.
- 3- Claim 2 (amended): writing pixel data to [a] said first memory location includes writing pixel data to a first virtual memory location.
- 4- Claim 5 (amended): [entering an address] generating said memory address for [a] said second memory location includes transforming the addresses of said pixel data at said first memory location to addresses at said second memory location.
- 5- Claim 9 (amended): writing said transformed pixel data from said first memory location to said second memory location includes writing said pixel data from [a] said first memory location associated with a first transfer function to [a] said second memory location associated with a second transfer function.
- 6- Claim 11 (added new): and transferring said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client.
- 7- Claim 19 (amended): storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to write said pixel data from a first memory location associated with [a] said first transfer function to [a] said second memory location associated with a second transfer function.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 2672

8- Claim 22 (amended): first memory controller client selectively forwards pixel data and addresses to one of a plurality of transfer functions and said second controller client receives pixel data with new addresses from [a] said plurality of transfer functions

9- Claim 3,4,6,7,10,12-17,20,21 and 23-25 (original)

Response to remarks on page 3:

- In response to page 3, line 6, applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. Applicant discloses that the limitation of claim 1 may enable applying transformations (data), without explicitly using a fetch engine in the physical memory. Fetch engine (a command or program) is meaning to obtain data from previous stage; applicant is using writing/performing/generating and transferring commands. Applicant does not claim how the data is obtained? And also what does part of the method write/perform/generate and transfer command? Physical memory is considered as hard drives/memory chips/compact disks/tapes and memory management supports the mapping of virtual memory addresses to physical memory addresses. In most modern microcomputers, however, the memory management is built into the CPU chip.
- Applicant does not explicitly specifying a run-time or real time process in the claim;
 however, the run-time and real time is not the main subject of the invention. The
 transformation and manipulation of data to or from memory locations are the main
 subject matter, which is using similar concept.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,604 Page 4

Art Unit: 2672

- In response to page 4, line 3, applicant does not explicitly specifying the advantages of claiming first and second memory locations, because the amount of addressable location can be divided into first, second and etc. locations in memory. The applicant does not explicitly specifying how the data is transferred, but specifying multiple transformations can be applied to data before transferring.
- In response to page 4, line 9, applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., writing of transformed data from one memory location to another memory location without depending upon the memory controller is not anticipated) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- In response to page 4, line 17, applicant's arguments do not comply with 37

 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- Patrick illustrates in Fig. 1 that control unit device in which one or more of these parts of the CPU are integrated together, such as in a microprocessor. Moreover, the number and arrangement of the elements of the computer system may be varied from what is shown and described in ways known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,604 Page 5

Art Unit: 2672

• In response to page 4, line 23, applicant's arguments do not comply with 37

CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

• Patrick discloses in (col. 6, lines 48-62) when implemented within a function, blt compiler 58 see Fig. 2 requires the following information: (1) the addresses of the start of the source and destination bitmaps; (2) the x,y pixel coordinates designating the upper-left point of the rectangle to be transferred (from which the starting address of the data block may be determined); (3) the width and height of the rectangle to be transferred in pixels (from which the number of bytes in the data block may be determined); (4) the x,y coordinates in pixels designating the upper-left location in the destination where the source rectangle should be transferred to; and (5) a ROP code for how to combine the source and destination pixels with a pattern when doing the transfer Blt compiler 58 then generates code to transfer the data block from a source bitmap to a rectangle in the destination bitmap. Note: the number and arrangement of the elements of the computer system may be varied.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patrick et al. US patent 5,706,483 published date of Jan. 6, 1998, and filling date of Dec. 13, 1994.

1. Claim 1,

Patrick et al., hereinafter Patrick, shows a method comprising "writing pixel data to a first memory location", see disclosure in (Col. 6, lines 15-35) the following example that provides. what is involved in the block transfer of bytes from a source to a destination in memory. Patrick also shows "performing a first pixel transformation at said first memory location"; see Fig. 3 is a diagram showing an 8(1, 16, 32) bit per pixel bitmap at a source (a "source bitmap or first memory location") for transfer to an 8 bpp bitmap at a destination (a "destination bitmap or second memory location"). Patrick shows a complete illustration of "generating a memory address for a second memory location", see Fig. 3, that the source bitmap 60 is located at memory addresses 0-499 (decimal) and the destination bitmap 62 is located at memory addresses 900-1399. A data block 61 for transfer is contained within a rectangle 60a in source bitmap 60 and consists of 15 bytes on each of 5 consecutive scan lines at the memory addresses given in the figure. Patrick demonstrates the transformation of data from first location to second location "writing said transformed pixel data from said first memory location to said second memory location; and transferring said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client.", see Figs. 4-5, data block 61 is to be transferred to a similarly sized rectangle 62a in destination bitmap 62 at the memory addresses given in the figure. Each byte in data block 61 must be fetched (i.e., read) from a source address and written to a destination address. For example, the first byte of the data block has a

Art Unit: 2672

source address of 19. This byte is to be transferred to a destination address of 905. The next byte for transfer has a source address of 20 and a destination address of 906, and so forth.

2. Claim 2.

Patrick illustrated, "writing pixel data to said first memory location includes writing pixel data to a first virtual memory location." in Fig. 1, number 40, which is secondary storage area that can be apply as a virtual memory. (Virtual memory is: extension of the computer's internal memory, it considers locally or remotely).

3. Claim 3,

Patrick illustrated, "The method of claim 2 further including writing pixel data to a virtual memory location associated with a memory controller client that receives pixel data written to certain virtual addresses." in Fig. 1, number 40, which is secondary storage area that can be apply as a virtual memory. (Virtual memory is: extension of the computer's internal memory, it considers locally or remotely).

4. Claim 4,

Patrick demonstrated "causing an operating system to set aside virtual addresses for said memory controller client." And the step is inherent, because the operating system provides this options to the users to have more memory location as needed it, and these extended memory can be called virtual memory.

5. Claim 5,

Patrick demonstrated "generating said memory address for said second memory location includes transforming the addresses of said pixel data at said first memory location to addresses at said second memory location." And the step is inherent, because there must be an address to

Art Unit: 2672

be able to locate pixel or any other data when transferring pixel data to second memory location.

6. Claim 6,

Patrick demonstrated "determining the offset to each pixel data by subtracting a base address at said first memory location from the address of each pixel data." And the step is inherent, because each memory location has an address tagged to the pixel data, therefore, the pixel data can be referred to previous location as if the application (recording, viewing, storing) requires. The memory location will have more space by subtracting a base address from pixel data, but the pixel data can be viewed once and it depends on the application (player, display once) requirements.

7. Claim 7,

Patrick demonstrated "adding said offset to a base address of said second memory location."

And the step is inherent, because the second memory location must have the base address of current location and plus previous parameters from first memory location (here is offset).

8. Claim 8,

Patrick demonstrated "writing said transformed pixel data from said first memory location to said second memory location includes transferring said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client." And the step is inherent, because Patrick shows in Fig. 1 the memory system number 30 that controls relocating the pixel data in memory areas.

9. Claim 9,

Patrick demonstrated "writing said transformed pixel data from said first memory location to said second memory location includes writing said pixel data from said first memory location

Art Unit: 2672

associated with a first transfer function to said second memory location associated with a second transfer function." And the step is inherent, because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

10. Claim 10,

Patrick demonstrated "The method of claim 9 including transforming the addresses of said pixel data from addresses in a first virtual memory range associated with said first transfer function to memory addresses in a second virtual memory range associated with said second transfer function." And the step is inherent, because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

11. Claim 11,

Patrick discloses "write pixel data to a first memory location" in (Col. 6, lines 15-35) the following example that provides, what is involved in the block transfer of bytes from a source to a destination in memory. Patrick discloses "perform a first pixel transformation at said first memory location" in Fig. 3 is a diagram showing an 8(1, 16, 32) bit per pixel bitmap at a source (a "source bitmap or first memory location") for transfer to an 8 bpp bitmap at a destination (a "destination bitmap or second memory location"). The source bitmap 60 is located at memory addresses 0-499 (decimal) and the destination bitmap 62 is located at memory addresses 900-1399. Patrick discloses "generate a memory address for a second memory location and write said transformed pixel data from said first memory location to said second memory location; and transferring said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client." A data block 61 for transfer is contained within a rectangle 60a in source bitmap 60 and consists of 15 bytes on each of 5 consecutive scan lines at the memory addresses given in the figure. Data block 61 is to be

Art Unit: 2672

transferred to a similarly sized rectangle 62a in destination bitmap 62 at the memory addresses given in the figure. Each byte in data block 61 must be fetched (i.e., read) from a source address and written to a destination address. For example, the first byte of the data block has a source address of 19. This byte is to be transferred to a destination address of 905. The next byte for transfer has a source address of 20 and a destination address of 906, and so forth.

12. Claim 12,

Patrick illustrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to write pixel data to a first virtual memory location." in Fig. 1, number 40, which is secondary storage area that can be apply as a virtual memory. (Virtual memory is: extension of the computer's internal memory, it considers locally or remotely).

13. Claim 13,

Patrick illustrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to write pixel data to a virtual memory location associated with a memory controller client that receives pixel data written to certain virtual addresses." in Fig. 1, number 40, which is secondary storage area that can be apply as a virtual memory. (Virtual memory is: extension of the computer's internal memory, it considers locally or remotely).

14. Claim 14,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to cause an operating system to set aside virtual addresses 4 for said memory controller client." And the step is inherent, because the operating system provides this options to the users to have more memory location as needed it, and these extended memory can be called virtual memory.

Art Unit: 2672

15. Claim 15,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to transform the addresses of pixel data at said first memory location to addresses at said second memory location." And the step is inherent, because there must be an address to be able to locate pixel or any other data when transferring pixel data to second memory location.

16. Claim 16,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to determine the offset to each pixel data by subtracting a base address at said first memory location from the address of each pixel data." And the step is inherent, because each memory location has an address tagged to the pixel data, therefore, the pixel data can be referred to previous location as if the application (recording, viewing, storing) requires. The memory location will have more space by subtracting a base address from pixel data, but the pixel data can be viewed once and it depends on the application (player, display once) requirements.

17. Claim 17,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to add said offset to a base address of said second memory location." And the step is inherent, because the second memory location must have the base address of current location and plus previous parameters from first memory location (here is offset).

18. Claim 18,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to transfer said pixel data to a memory controller using a memory controller client." And the step is

Art Unit: 2672

inherent, because Patrick shows in Fig. 1 the memory system number 30 that controls relocating the pixel data in memory areas.

19. Claim 19,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to write said pixel data from said first memory location associated with a first transfer function to said second memory location associated with a second transfer function." And the step is inherent, because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

20. Claim 20,

Patrick demonstrated "storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to transform the addresses of said pixel data from addresses in a first virtual memory range associated with said first transfer function to memory addresses in a second virtual memory range associated with said second transfer function." And the step is inherent, because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

21. Claim 21,

Patrick demonstrated "A system comprising: a memory controller that receives pixel data and addresses; a first memory controller client that forwards pixel data and addresses to a first transfer function; and a second memory controller client that receives data from said first transfer function together with new addresses." in (Col. 6, lines 15-35) the following example that provides, what is involved in the block transfer of bytes from a source to a destination in memory. Fig. 3 is a diagram showing an 8(1, 16, 32) bit per pixel bitmap at a source (a "source bitmap or first memory location") for transfer to an 8 bpp bitmap at a destination (a "destination

Art Unit: 2672

bitmap or second memory location"). The source bitmap 60 is located at memory addresses 0-499 (decimal) and the destination bitmap 62 is located at memory addresses 900-1399. A data block 61 for transfer is contained within a rectangle 60a in source bitmap 60 and consists of 15 bytes on each of 5 consecutive scan lines at the memory addresses given in the figure. Data block 61 is to be transferred to a similarly sized rectangle 62a in destination bitmap 62 at the memory addresses given in the figure. Each byte in data block 61 must be fetched (i.e., read) from a source address and written to a destination address. For example, the first byte of the data block has a source address of 19. This byte is to be transferred to a destination address of 905. The next byte for transfer has a source address of 20 and a destination address of 906, and so forth.

22. Claim 22,

Patrick demonstrated "first memory controller client selectively forwards pixel data and addresses to one of a plurality of transfer functions and said second controller client receives pixel data with new addresses from said plurality of transfer functions." And the step is inherent, because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

23. Claim 23,

Patrick demonstrated "memory controller client writes the pixel data back to said memory controller." And the step is inherent, because this is the function of memory controller.

24. Claim 24,

Patrick demonstrated "a plurality of transfer functions, one of said transfer functions arranged to write output data to an address range of another transfer function." And the step is inherent,

Art Unit: 2672

because, Patrick shows in Figs. 5 and 7 that flow charts of a method for compiling run-time code for a data block transfer.

25. Claim 25,

Patrick demonstrated "transfer functions are associated with virtual memory address ranges."

And the step is inherent, because the range of memory address must be known in order to be able to run the transfer function for any type of memory locations.

Page 14

Art Unit: 2672

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A Amini whose telephone number is 703-605-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-8705 for regular communications and 703-746-8705 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit 2672

Javid Amini February 6, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER

My a. Brus